Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		

Part A – Items considered in public

A1	Minutes of the meeting held on 14 July 2015	RESOLVED:
		That the minutes of the meeting held on the 14 July 2015 be agreed as an accurate record of proceedings and the Chair be authorised to sign them.
A2	Declarations of interest	Councillor Jim Mallory declared a personal interest in item 3 as he was a school governor at Abbey Manor College.
A3	Lewisham Future Programme 2016/17 Draft Revenue Budget Savings Proposals	RESOLVED: That the following comments be referred to Mayor and Cabinet: That the referrals made by Select Committees (attached at Appendix A of the full agenda report) be endorsed with the exceptions of referrals I9e from Children and Young People Select Committee, and G2 from Sustainable Development Select Committee on which the committee decided to reserve judgement in order to fully consider these matters at its own meeting. The Committee asked that the Mayor and Cabinet take these referrals into account alongside officer reports when taking a decision on the Lewisham Future Programme – 2016/17 Revenue Budget Savings Report. In addition to these Select Committee referrals, the Public Accounts Select Committee agreed to add an additional recommendation to the Mayor and Cabinet as requested by the Chair of Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee to endorse the importance of considering the equality impact of savings proposals on residents with protected characteristics, both for individual proposals and when considered overall, and that the equalities information provided by officers be updated as these proposals are developed and monitored once implemented, with additional analysis where possible. The Public Accounts Select Committee agreed the following proposals be referred with

Topic	Decision
	no changes: F2a and F2b;F3; I2a,I2b and I2c;I4a and I4b;I5:I6;I7;I8;I9a,I9b,I9c,I9d,I9e; I10a and I10b; and O4.
	The Public Accounts Select Committee made additional comments for referral on the following proposals:
	G2: Income generation
	The Committee agreed with the comments of the Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee and strongly endorsed the appointment of a commercialism specialist at senior officer level. This had been brought out in evidence sessions as part of the Income Generation Review being conducted by the committee and would be one of the key recommendations of the final report which would be presented to Mayor and Cabinet at its meeting on 11 November 2015.
	I3: Reorganisation of how complaints are managed across the Council.
	The Committee agreed the proposal but requested that the Public Accounts Select Committee receive feedback on the results of the review after it had been completed.
	O5 Discretionary Freedom Pass
	The Committee endorsed the comments of the Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee and rejected the proposal in its current form requesting that it be reconsidered in light of the implications on vulnerable residents.
Income Generation Review evidence	RESOLVED:
36331011	That the report be noted.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		That the additional information tabled by Cllr Ingleby be noted.
		That an update on the Fees and Charges Strategy be brought back to the Public Accounts Committee at the end of this municipal year.
		That the draft recommendations for the Income Generation Review include a recommendation for the Council to appoint a commercialisation specialist.
A5	Select Committee work programme	RESOLVED:
		That the report be noted.
		That an update on the Fees and Charges Strategy be brought back to the committee at the end of the municipal year.
A6	Referrals to Mayor and Cabinet	RESOLVED:
		That the following recommendations on the Lewisham Future Programme 2016/17 Draft Revenue Budget Savings Proposals be referred to Mayor and Cabinet:
		The referrals made by Select Committees (attached at Appendix A of the full agenda report) be endorsed with the exceptions of referrals I9e from Children and Young People Select Committee, and G2 from Sustainable Development Select Committee on which the committee decided to reserve judgement in order to fully consider these matters at its own meeting. The Committee ask that the Mayor and Cabinet take these referrals into account alongside officer reports when taking a decision on the Lewisham Future Programme – 2016/17 Revenue Budget Savings Report.
		In addition to these Select Committee referrals, the Public Accounts Select Committee agreed to add an additional recommendation to the Mayor and Cabinet as requested by

Topic	Decision
	the Chair of Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee to endorse the importance of considering the equality impact of savings proposals on residents with protected characteristics, both for individual proposals and when considered overall, and that the equalities information provided by officers be updated as these proposals are developed
	and monitored once implemented, with additional analysis where possible. The Public Accounts Select Committee agreed the following proposals be referred with no changes: F2a and F2b;F3; I2a,I2b and I2c;I4a and I4b;I5:I6;I7;I8;I9a,I9b,I9c,I9d,I9e; I10a and I10b; and O4.
	The Public Accounts Select Committee made additional comments for referral on the following proposals: G2: Income generation
	The Committee agreed with the comments of the Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee and strongly endorsed the appointment of a commercialism specialist at senior officer level. This had been brought out in evidence sessions as part of the Income Generation Review being conducted by the committee and would be one of the key recommendations of the final report which would be presented to Mayor and Cabinet at its meeting on 11 November 2015.
	I3: Reorganisation of how complaints are managed across the Council.
	The Committee agreed the proposal but requested that the Public Accounts Select Committee receive feedback on the results of the review after it had been completed.
	O5 Discretionary Freedom Pass
	Topic

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		The Committee endorsed the comments of the Safer, Stronger Communities Select Committee and rejected the proposal in its current form requesting that it be reconsidered in light of the implications on vulnerable residents.